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**Coxiella burnetii** seroprevalence of shepherds and their flocks in the lower Saint-Lawrence River region of Quebec, Canada
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**OBJECTIVE:** To determine the seroprevalence of *Coxiella burnetii* among the shepherds and their sheep in the lower Saint-Lawrence River region (LSLRR) of Quebec, Canada.

**DESIGN:** A prospective human-animal comparative study was conducted with 81 shepherds from 46 farms and a control group matched for sex and age. All participants answered a standardized questionnaire to evaluate their risk factors for *Q* fever, including a specific section on the work practices of the shepherds. All human subjects had a blood sample taken for serology to phase I and phase II antigens of *C. burnetii* performed by indirect immunofluorescence assay. At each participating farm, seven to nine sheep had blood samples taken for *C. burnetii* serology to be assessed by the complement fixation test.

**RESULTS:** The seroprevalence to *C. burnetii* was higher in the group of shepherds (28.4%) than the control group (1.2%) (P=0.005). Among the group of shepherds, spending more than 5 h/week in the sheep barn (P=0.06) and buying and/or trading sheep within the past six months (P=0.004) were associated with positive *C. burnetii* serology. A total of 137 of 334 sheep (41%) were seropositive for *C. burnetii*. These positive sheep were distributed in 41 of the 46 flocks (89%). No correlation could be demonstrated between a serology for *C. burnetii* in the herd and the shepherds.

**CONCLUSION:** *Q* fever is highly prevalent in the LSLRR of Quebec, affecting 89% of the flocks and 28% of the shepherds. Shepherds in this region are at increased risk for *C. burnetii* infection in comparison to the general population.
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*Q* fever is a worldwide zoonosis caused by an intracellular rickettsial agent, *Coxiella burnetii*. This bacteria shows a characteristic phase conversion: the virulent phase I is directly isolated from infected animals, and the avirulent phase II is obtained after successive passages on cellular cultures of embryonated eggs (1,2). *Q* fever is predominantly transmitted to humans by inhalation of contaminated aerosols from infected animal litters (3-5). The parturition products and the feces and urine of sheep, cats, goats and dogs are the more common sources of transmission of the bacteria (6-9). Although these animals rarely show any symptoms of the infection (10,11), abortions in sheep, goats and cattle can be seen in some cases (5,6,12-14).

Human *Q* fever is often asymptomatic or manifests as a mild illness. Atypical pneumonia and hepatitis are the principal clinical manifestations of the acute symptomatic infection, while endocarditis is the most frequent presentation of chronic *Q* fever (1,3,15,16). Very few studies in North America have

**La séroprévalence de *Coxiella burnetii* chez les bergers et leurs troupeaux dans la région du Bas-Saint-Laurent, au Québec (Canada).**

**OBJECTIF :** Déterminer la séroprévalence de *Coxiella burnetii* chez les bergers et leurs troupeaux dans la région du Bas-Saint-Laurent, au Québec.

**PLAN D’ÉTUDE :** Une étude comparative, hommes-animaux, a été menée de façon prospective auprès de 81 bergers provenant de 46 fermes et d’un groupe témoin apparié selon l’âge et le sexe. Tous les participants ont rempli un questionnaire uniformisé afin d’évaluer leurs facteurs de risque de fièvre *Q*; une section en particulier portait d’ailleurs sur, les pratiques de travail des bergers. Un prélèvement de sang a été effectué chez tous les sujets humains pour un test sérologique à la recherche de *C. burnetii* de phase I ou II au moyen de l’immunofluorescence indirecte. Un prélèvement de sang a aussi été effectué sur 7 à 9 moutons dans chacune des fermes participantes pour un test sérologique à la recherche de *C. burnetii* au moyen, cette fois, du test de fixation du complément.

**RÉSULTATS :** La séroprévalence de *C. burnetii* s’est révélée plus forte chez les bergers (28,4 %) que chez les sujets témoins (1,2 %) (P=0,005). Dans le groupe de bergers, le fait de passer plus de 5 h/sem. à la ferme (P=0,06) et d’acheter des moutons ou d’en faire le commerce au cours des six derniers mois (P=0,004) a été associé à un test sérologique positif. Quant aux moutons, 137 sur 334 (41 %) ont eu des résultats positifs; les animaux infectés étaient repartis dans 41 fermes sur 46 (89 %). Aucune corrélation n’a été établie quant aux tests sérologiques entre les troupeaux et les bergers.

**CONCLUSION :** La prévalence de la fièvre *Q* est élevée dans la région du Bas-Saint-Laurent; elle touche 89 % des troupeaux et 28 % des bergers. Les bergers de la région sont donc plus exposés à l’infection à *C. burnetii* que la population en général.
addressed the issues of prevention of Q fever. In Australia, a
highly effective vaccine (Q-Vax, Commonwealth Serum
Laboratories, USA) is available for workers with occupational
exposure to C burnetii (17,18).

Seroprevalence studies conducted in Canada have shown
that 5% to 36% of blood donors (19,20), 20% of slaughter-
house workers of the Mauricie region of Quebec (12), 25% of
personnel of the animal pathology laboratory in Rimouski in
the lower Saint-Lawrence River region (LSLRR) (M
Rochette, unpublished data) and 49% of veterinarians in Nova
Scotia (21) were positive for C burnetii.

Epizootiological studies have also been done on animal
populations in Canada. A seroprevalence study in the
Mauricie region of Quebec showed that C burnetii had infected
26.7% of the cats, 11.5% of the cattle and 7.7% of the sheep
(22). Cats were reported as important vectors of transmission
to humans in the Mauricie region of Quebec and
in Nova Scotia (23,24). In Ontario, a seroprevalence study
demonstrated that 21.3% of the sheep were positive for anti-odies to C burnetii (25).

Q fever is a notifiable disease in Quebec. From January
1990 to December 1998, 43 (36.1%) of 119 reported cases
were from the LSLRR, which had the highest incidence rate of
the province, although it represents only 5% of the population.
A review of the cases of Q fever from the LSLRR between
1991 and 1999 showed that 67% of the Q fever cases were
related to exposure to sheep (P Jutras, unpublished data). It is
noteworthy that an important rise in the number of sheep was
observed in LSLRR, with an increase from 11,000 animals dis-
ributed in 110 flocks in 1983, to more than 33,000 in 180
flocks in 1999 (Union des producteurs agricoles de Quebec,
personal communication).

To evaluate the association between Q fever and exposure
to ovine in the LSLRR, a prospective human-animal seropre-
valence study was undertaken to compare the seropreva-
lence of C burnetii between the shepherds, their flocks and
the general population. The work practices of the shepherds
were also evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Between July and August 1998, all adult shepherds from the 160
registered farms of the LSLRR were asked to participate in this
human-animal seroprevalence study, with a maximum of three
shepherds and nine sheep per farm. Sera from the shepherds and
their sheep were taken during a single visit to the farm.

Humans
A total of 81 shepherds from 46 farms were enrolled in this study.
A control group of 81 participants, matched for age and sex, was
recruited from the patients attending a sexually transmitted dis-
ases (STDs) clinic and employees and volunteers of the Centre
Hospitalier Régional de Rimouski (CHRR). All participants pro-
vided written informed consent. This study was approved by the
ethics committee of the CHRR.

A control group of 81 participants, matched for age and sex, was
recruited from the patients attending a sexually transmitted dis-
ases (STDs) clinic and employees and volunteers of the Centre
Hospitalier Régional de Rimouski (CHRR). All participants pro-
vided written informed consent. This study was approved by the
ethics committee of the CHRR.

Human serology: The human blood samples were delivered
within 4 h of collection to the microbiology laboratory, where
the sera were separated by centrifugation. The sera were stored
frozen at −30°C before shipping to the National Microbiology
Laboratory (NML) in Winnipeg, Manitoba. Immunoglobulin
(Ig) G antibodies against C burnetii phase I and phase II were
assessed at the NML by the standard indirect immunofluores-
cence assay (IFA) method using antigens of the Nine Mile strain
of C burnetii. An initial screening was done at a dilution of 1:32.
All human sera were encoded before testing.

Determination of C Burnetii phase I and II antibodies

Preparation of slides: C burnetii phase I and II antigens were
obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
(CDC) in Atlanta, Georgia and were yolk sac propagated Nine
Mile strain antigens inactivated by gamma irradiation and blend-
ed in sufficient phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.6) to form an opti-
mal working antigen suspension containing 0.2% sodium azide as
a preservative. The lyophilized phase II antigen was reconstituted
with 0.5 mL of distilled water as per CDC’s protocol. The frozen
phase I antigen was thawed before use. Two microliters of antigen
slurry were applied to each well of a 12-well slide (Fisher
Scientific, Napanee) and air-dried for 1 h. The antigen was cold
acetone-fixed for 15 min, briefly air dried and stored at −80°C.
Slides were used at room temperature.

Preparation of clinical specimens: For initial screening, speci-
mens were diluted 1:32 in FTA Hemagglutination Buffer (Becton
Dickinson, USA). Positive sera were serially titrated twofold to
the endpoint dilution. Ten microliters of the diluted specimens
were added to each well of a 12-well slide. The slide was incu-
bated at 37°C for 1 h in a humid chamber, followed by a 15-min wash
in FTA buffer and air-dried.

Ten microliters of conjugate (Sigma goat anti-human FITC-
conjugated whole molecule IgG diluted 1:32 in conjugate dilution
buffer [FTA buffer; Evan’s Blue Dye at a dilution of 10:1]) were
added to each well. The slide was incubated at 37°C for 1 h in a
humid chamber, followed by a 15-min wash in FTA buffer and air-
dried.

Three small drops of mounting medium (PBS: glycerol at a
dilution of 1:10) were spotted on the surface of the slide, which
was then covered with a 22×60 mm coverslip (Fisher Scientific)
and examined with a Zeiss Axioshot (Zeiss, Germany) 2 fluores-
cent microscope (20× objective).

This method has been described elsewhere (26,27). Antibody titres of 1:32 to C burnetii phase I and/or phase II anti-
gens were considered to be positive. Only IgG antibodies were
determined.

Questionnaire: All participants answered a standardized ques-
tionnaire that included parameters such as demographic data,
history of prior Q fever infection and exposure to sheep, cattle,
goats and cats. The questionnaire also had a section on the work
practices of the shepherds and the characteristics of their flocks.
This questionnaire is available upon request from the primary
author.

Animals
At each participating farm, blood was drawn from seven to nine
adult sheep. After separation, the sera were kept frozen at −18°C
before testing. The sera were tested for antibodies to C burnetii by the complement fixation test, using a commercial kit
(Hoechst-Behring, Germany) at the Armand Frappier Laboratory
in Montreal, Canada. The initial testing was done at a dilution of
1:8. Samples with titres of 1:8 were serially titrated twofold up to
1:128.

Statistics
Data were analyzed using EPI-INFo 6.02 software (Centers for
Disease Control and Prevention, USA). Comparisons were
done using the Mantel-Haenszel $\chi^2$ and the Fisher exact test when
appropriate. All P values were two-sided, and $P<0.05$ was consid-
ered statistically significant.
RESULTS

Both shepherd and control groups were comparable for age and sex, but more participants in the shepherd group were residents of rural communities than in the control group (Table 1).

Significantly more shepherds (23 of 81, 28%) were seropositive to phase I and/or II of Coxella burnetii antigens compared with only one participant (1.2%) in the control group (P<0.00005). The 23 positive shepherds were distributed from 20 different farms scattered throughout the territory of LSLRR. Only one seropositive sheep had been previously diagnosed with acute symptomatic Q fever in 1996. All other positive cases had not been previously recognized and had presumably mild or asymptomatic infections.

The only seropositive case in the control group reported a single visit to a sheep farm within the past year before testing. Seven other participants among the control group had mentioned recent visits to sheep farms, including a veterinarian who had more than 50 such visits. The profiles of the 24 positive sera are presented in Table 2. No serological result was suggestive of chronic Q fever because chronic Q fever is characterized by the presence of titres of 1:800 or greater to Coxella burnetii IgG anti-phase I antigens by immunofluorescence (6).

The data on the work practices of the shepherds are shown in Table 3. On most farms, very few appropriate measures were taken with the parturition products, although all farmers reported annual cleaning of the sheep barn. Only 38% of the shepherds disposed of the parturition products in the garbage; disinfection procedures of the site after parturition were applied in less than 5%; masks were almost never worn by the shepherds; and the parturition products were often left in the sheep barn, and ingested by cats and dogs living on the farm.

No association was found between seropositivity in the shepherds and their flocks (Table 4). Most shepherds (72 of 81, 89%) worked on farms where the sampled sheep were found to be positive to Coxella burnetii. Also, the number of seropositive sheep in a flock had no impact on the serology results of the shepherds. Of the nine shepherds working with seronegative sheep, two (22%) had previous Q fever infections. Surprisingly, all seven shepherds with six or more seropositive sheep in their flock were seronegative to Coxella burnetii.

DISCUSSION

This study has shown that exposure to Coxella burnetii is highly prevalent in the shepherds (28%) and sheep (41% of the individual sheep and 89% of the flocks) in the LSLRR of Quebec. This could explain the higher prevalence of Q fever reported in this region of Quebec and the association of cases with exposure to sheep. In comparison, only 1% of our human control group demonstrated antibodies to Coxella burnetii. It would have been of interest to match both test groups for city of residency because 96% of the shepherds lived in rural communities compared with only 31% of the control group. The fact that the control population was acquired from employees, volunteers and patients attending the STD clinic, and therefor, more likely to live in an urban area, makes comparison of the two groups more difficult. Instead, an ideal control population should have been individuals who lived in the same area as the shepherds but who did not work with the sheep. It is known that urban patients have fewer contacts with farm animals (sheep, goats, cattle). Some publications
reported higher seroprevalence rates of 11% to 15% when the control participants were in rural areas (10,15,20,28).

Seroepidemiological studies of blood donors from other areas of Atlantic Canada suggested that the ‘background’ seroprevalence was higher (4.2% in New Brunswick, 8.3% in Newfoundland, 11.8% in Nova Scotia and 14.2% in Prince Edward Island [29-31]). This may reflect an underestimation of the true prevalence because we had a small control group, or alternatively the seroprevalence may be lower in our region. Studies of blood donors in France found an average of 4% of participants to be positive for *C. burnetii* antibodies (15). Also, a Japanese study on the prevalence of *C. burnetii* in different groups of workers with occupational risk factors showed the seroprevalence of the healthy control was 1 in

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work practice</th>
<th>Negative serology n=58 (n[%])</th>
<th>Positive serology n=23 (n[%])</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of the herd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-50 ewes</td>
<td>0/58 (0)</td>
<td>1/23 (4.4)</td>
<td>1/81 (1.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-100 ewes</td>
<td>12/58 (20.7)</td>
<td>4/23 (17.4)</td>
<td>16/81 (19.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101-301 ewes</td>
<td>31/58 (53.4)</td>
<td>14/23 (60.9)</td>
<td>45/81 (55.6)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 301 ewes</td>
<td>15/58 (25.9)</td>
<td>4/23 (17.4)</td>
<td>19/81 (23.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of work practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 year</td>
<td>3/57 (5.3)</td>
<td>1/23 (4.4)</td>
<td>4/80 (5.0)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4 years</td>
<td>27/57 (47.4)</td>
<td>12/23 (52.2)</td>
<td>39/80 (48.8)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9 years</td>
<td>12/57 (21.1)</td>
<td>5/23 (21.7)</td>
<td>17/80 (21.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19 years</td>
<td>10/57 (17.5)</td>
<td>4/23 (17.4)</td>
<td>14/80 (17.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 years and more</td>
<td>5/57 (8.8)</td>
<td>1/23 (4.4)</td>
<td>6/80 (7.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly hours of exposure to sheep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5 h</td>
<td>13/57 (22.8)</td>
<td>1/23 (4.4)</td>
<td>14/80 (17.5)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 h</td>
<td>44/57 (77.2)</td>
<td>22/23 (95.6)</td>
<td>66/80 (82.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent buying and trading of sheep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep in pasture</td>
<td>24/57 (42.0)</td>
<td>18/23 (78.2)</td>
<td>42/80 (52.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd contact with parturition products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolation of ewes during parturition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinfection of the site after parturition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal of parturition products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wearing of a mask during parturition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of contact of cats and dogs with sheep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingestion of the parturition products by cats and dogs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual sheepfold cleaning</td>
<td>58/58 (100)</td>
<td>23/23 (100)</td>
<td>81/81 (100)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of specific clothes in the lambing pens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking of unpasteurized milk</td>
<td>18/57 (31.6)</td>
<td>9/23 (31.1)</td>
<td>27/80 (33.8)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of <em>Coxiella burnetii</em> positive sheep sampled in a flock</th>
<th>Shepherds with serum positive to <em>C burnetii</em></th>
<th>Shepherds with serum negative to <em>C burnetii</em></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NS** Not significant
60 (1.7%), which is more comparable to our control group (32).

Thomas et al (10) reported a 27% seroprevalence in different livestock farm workers from the United Kingdom, which is consistent with our data. This study also found a correlation between seroprevalence and the extent of total contact with the farm animals because full-time employees were more likely to be seropositive to C. burnetii than part-time employees. Our shepherds were also more likely to be positive if they worked more than 5 h weekly. Curiously, the number of years of experience has not been associated with seroprevalence to C. burnetii, but only a small sample of 20 shepherds had more than 10 years of practice.

Trading and buying of sheep was correlated to Q fever in our shepherd population. This could be related to a higher rate of exposure of the shepherds to C. burnetii on multiple farms or to the introduction of C. burnetii with secondary dissemination of the etiological agent within the farm.

The seroprevalence obtained in our sheep was higher than in previous reports. A study from Ontario showed a prevalence of 21.3% of the sheep flocks tested by ELISA (25), and a serosurvey in Nova Scotia demonstrated positive sera for phase II antigen in 6.7% of the 329 sheep tested (24). We also examined seroprevalence data for other animals. Seropositivity varied from 23% to 32% in the cats of the Mauricie region of Quebec (Monique Goyette, unpublished data), and rates of 12% and 67% were found for bovine herds in Mauricie (M. Goyette, unpublished data) and Ontario (33), respectively. The complement fixation technique is generally considered to be less sensitive than the IFA method, especially for the determination of immunity or past infection; thus the high rates of positive animals in this study may, in fact, be an under-representation of the true number of reactors. In this study, it would have been of great value to include sheep from other regions of Quebec and other animals (cats, cattle and goats) to verify whether such high seroprevalence would have been observed in these cases.

Our farmers had very few adequate preventive measures to limit the propagation of Q fever. Only 38% threw the parturition products in the garbage. In many cases, they were left in the lambing pens and ingested by cats and dogs living on the farm. Proper preventive measures should be implemented with education of the shepherds on Q fever.

The guidelines should include specific disinfection procedures, the isolation of parturient ewes, the burying or burning of placentas and dead fetuses outside the lambing pen and the use of masks when assisting with parturition. Parturition products should be kept away from domestic animals (cats or dogs) to avoid their ingestion and further dissemination of Q fever. Also, the use of specific clothes in the lambing pen should be encouraged.

Q fever was mostly asymptomatic or not recognized in our shepherds. The role of a vaccine for use by our shepherd population should be examined because such a high seroprevalence rate was documented.

CONCLUSIONS

Q fever is highly endemic in the LSLRR of Quebec, with a seroprevalence of 28% in our shepherd population and 89% in our sheep flocks. Proper preventive measures, especially when in contact with parturition products, are needed to reduce the reservoir for the rickettsial C. burnetii and ultimately limit the risk of acquiring Q fever by our shepherds. Further studies should investigate the prevalence of antibodies to C. burnetii in other sheep flocks in Quebec and evaluate the efficacy of different prevention strategies.
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